

GENETIC EVIDENCE AND THE ORIGIN OF MAIZE

Jeff Bennetzen, Edward Buckler, Vicki Chandler, John Doebley, Jane Dorweiler, Brandon Gaut, Michael Freeling, Sarah Hake, Elizabeth Kellogg, R. Scott Poethig, Virginia Walbot, and Susan Wessler

The origin of maize has been a topic of interest to both biologists and archaeologists. During the twentieth century, the viewpoint that maize is a domesticated form of teosinte received convincing support from biological data and is now broadly accepted among biologists familiar with the issues and data. There is no support of any kind for an alternative view that maize is the hybrid of the grasses Zea diploperennis and Tripsacum.

El origen del maíz ha sido un tema de interés tanto para los biólogos como para los arqueólogos. Durante el siglo veinte, el punto de vista que mantiene que el maíz es una forma de teocintle domesticada ha recibido apoyo convincente de los datos biológicos y hoy día es aceptado ampliamente entre los biólogos quienes conocen bien el tema y los datos. No hay apoyo de cualquier tipo en favor de la proposición que el maíz es un híbrido de Zea diploperennis y Tripsacum.

Over 60 years ago, George Beadle (1939) proposed that teosinte (*Zea* species) was the wild ancestor of maize (the teosinte hypothesis) as an alternative to the “tripartite” hypothesis that maize arose from an extinct wild maize (Mangelsdorf and Reeves 1939). Although a controversy surrounded these competing hypotheses for many years, the 1970s and 1980s witnessed a series of reports supporting the teosinte hypothesis. The teosinte hypothesis subsequently became broadly accepted among biologists, especially maize geneticists and evolutionists who were familiar with the issues, data, and analytical methods (see references below). Nevertheless, a minority viewpoint that teosinte was not ancestral to maize retained some

advocates (Mangelsdorf 1974; Randolph 1975). Recently, the teosinte hypothesis was challenged with the proposal that maize arose from the progeny of a cross between a species of teosinte (*Zea diploperennis*) and another grass (*Tripsacum*) (hereafter the tripsacum-diploperennis hypothesis) (Eubanks 1995). The report of a putative hybrid between *Tripsacum* and *Z. diploperennis* (Eubanks 1995, 1997) was used as evidence in developing the tripsacum-diploperennis hypothesis. MacNeish and Eubanks (2000) have used the tripsacum-diploperennis hypothesis as a platform for reevaluation of the archaeological evidence for the origin of maize.

We write as a group of maize geneticists and evolutionists to make three points that may be of inter-

- Jeff Bennetzen** ■ Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, W. Lafayette IN 47907
Edward Buckler ■ Department of Genetics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC 27695-7614
Vicki Chandler ■ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721
John Doebley ■ Laboratory of Genetics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706
Jane Dorweiler ■ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721
Brandon Gaut ■ Department Ecology and Evolution, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-2525
Michael Freeling ■ Department of Plant Biology, University of California, Berkeley CA 94720
Sarah Hake ■ USDA, Plant Gene Expression Center, Albany CA 94710
Elizabeth Kellogg ■ Department of Biology, University of Missouri–St. Louis, St. Louis MO 63121
R. Scott Poethig ■ Biology Department, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA 19104-6018
Virginia Walbot ■ Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305-5020
Susan Wessler ■ Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens GA 30602

est to archaeologists concerned with the origin of maize. First, the biological evidence for Beadle's teosinte hypothesis is overwhelming. Second, the report of a successful *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrid upon which the *tripsacum-diploperennis* hypothesis is based is not credible. Third, there are no data indicating that the maize genome is a mixture of the *Z. diploperennis* and *Tripsacum* genomes as specified by the *tripsacum-diploperennis* hypothesis.

(1) The support for the teosinte hypothesis is overwhelming. It is beyond our purposes to review this evidence here; however, we note that this evidence comes from a variety of sources including cytology (Kato 1976; McClintock et al. 1981), classical genetics (Beadle 1972; Langham 1940; Szabo and Burr 1996), systematics (DeWet and Harlan 1972; Galinat 1971; Iltis 1971; Kellogg and Birchler 1993), morphology (Galinat 1983; Iltis 1983), biochemical genetics (Doebley et al. 1984), molecular genetics (Dennis and Peacock 1984; Doebley et al. 1987; Fuerstenberg and Johns 1990; Purugganan and Wessler 1994; Walbot 1983), quantitative genetics (Doebley et al. 1990), population genetics (Eyre-Walker et al. 1998; Goloubinoff et al. 1993; Hilton and Gaut 1998; White and Doebley 1999), and phylogenetics (Benz 1999; Buckler and Holtsford 1996; Miranda 1966). More importantly, the evidence comes from a large number of independent researchers, all trained as biologists, and all of whom agree—teosinte is ancestral to maize. Nowhere in this extensive literature has there been evidence for a contribution of *Tripsacum* to maize ancestry.

(2) Although producing a *Tripsacum-Z. diploperennis* hybrid may very well be possible, the reports of Eubanks (1995, 1997) do not demonstrate that *Tripsacum* and *Z. diploperennis* were successfully hybridized. Rather, the statements and figures in these reports suggest that at least some or all of the putative *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrids are maize-*diploperennis* hybrids. First, the chromosome number of the putative *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrid is $2n = 20$. This is also the chromosome number of both maize and *Z. diploperennis*, and thus the number one would expect in a maize-*diploperennis* hybrid. If *Tripsacum* ($2n = 36$ or 72) had been one of the parents, one would have expected the hybrid to have 28 or 46 chromosomes. Second, the high fertility of the putative *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrids is typical of maize-teosinte hybrids (Wilkes 1967), while the only known teosinte-*tripsacum* hybrid (*T. andersonii*) is sterile (Talbert et al. 1990a). Third, the molecular

marker results presented by Eubanks (1995, 1997) do not establish that the putative *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrids are real. Specifically, the putative hybrids do not contain the restriction fragments of both of the two putative parents (Eubanks 1995: Figures 8–9). Fourth, the ears of the putative *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrids (Eubanks 1995: Figures 5–6; McNeish and Eubanks 2000: Figure 3) look like maize-teosinte (maize-*diploperennis*) hybrids. The ear structure, chromosome number, fertility and restriction fragment patterns of the putative *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrids are all consistent with them being maize-*diploperennis* hybrids. This possibility must be excluded and positive evidence that they are *tripsacum-diploperennis* hybrids shown before concluding that they are such. Finally, even if one did successfully hybridize *Tripsacum* and *Z. diploperennis*, such an accomplishment would not discredit the evidence for the teosinte hypothesis.

(3) There is no evidence that the maize genome contains a mixture of *Tripsacum* and *Z. diploperennis* germplasm. In the relevant reports, these taxa are distinct as expected if they are the end-products of divergent evolution (Buckler and Holtsford 1996; Dennis and Peacock 1984; DeWet and Harlan 1972; DeWet et al. 1987; Doebley et al. 1987; Eyre-Walker et al. 1998; Goloubinoff et al. 1993; Hilton and Gaut 1998; Larson and Doebley 1994; White and Doebley 1999). One does not see either *Tripsacum* or *Z. diploperennis* sequences in maize as expected if the *tripsacum-diploperennis* hypothesis were correct. Most telling are several families of high-copy number sequences for which *Tripsacum* and *Zea* genomes are differentiated, with maize totally lacking any *Tripsacum* sequence (Raz et al. 1991; Talbert et al. 1990a, 1990b; Talbert and Moylan 1991).

The origin of maize has both archaeological and biological components. There are often difficulties for those of us in one of these fields to judge the evidence from the other. We hope our comments as a group of maize geneticists and evolutionists on the biological evidence can contribute in a positive way to the evaluation of the archaeological evidence.

References Cited

- Beadle, George
1939 Teosinte and the Origin of Maize. *The Journal of Heredity* 30:245–247.
1972 The Mystery of Maize. *Field Museum of Natural History Bulletin* 43:2–11.
- Benz, Bruce
1999 On the Origin, Evolution and Dispersal of Maize. In *Pacific Latin America in Prehistory, The Evolution of Archaic*

- and *Formative Cultures*, edited by M. Blake, pp. 25–38. Washington State University Press, Pullman.
- Buckler, Edward, and Timothy Holtsford
1996 *Zea* Systematics: Ribosomal ITS Evidence. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 13:612–622.
- Dennis, Elizabeth, and William Peacock
1984 Knob Heterochromatin Homology in Maize and Its Relatives. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 20:341–350.
- DeWet, Jan, and Jack Harlan
1972 Origin of Maize: The Tripartite Hypothesis. *Euphytica* 21:271–279.
- DeWet, Jan, S. Prunty, and Don Brink
1987 Zeins as Indicators of Maize-*Tripsacum* Introgression. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 15:231–233.
- Doebley John, Major Goodman, and Charles Stuber
1984 Isoenzymatic Variation in *Zea* (Gramineae). *Systematic Botany* 93:203–218.
- Doebley John, Wendy Renfro, and Ann Blanton
1987 Restriction Site Variation in the *Zea* Chloroplast Genome. *Genetics* 117:139–147.
- Doebley, John, Adrian Stec, Jon Wendel, and Marlin Edwards
1990 Genetic and Morphological Analysis of a Maize-Teosinte F₂ Population: Implications for the Origin of Maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* 87:9888–9892.
- Eubanks, Mary
1995 A Cross between Two Maize Relatives: *Tripsacum dactyloides* and *Zea diploperennis* (Poaceae). *Economic Botany* 49:172–182.
1997 Molecular Analysis of Crosses between *Tripsacum dactyloides* and *Zea diploperennis* (Poaceae). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 94:707–712.
- Eyre-Walker, Adam, Rebecca Gaut, Holly Hilton, Dan Feldman, and Brandon Gaut
1998 Investigation of the Bottleneck Leading to the Domestication of Maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* 95:4441–4446.
- Fuerstenberg, Susan, and Mitrick Johns
1990 Distribution of *Bs1* Retrotransposons in *Zea* and Related Genera. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 80:680–686.
- Galinat, Walton
1971 The Origin of Maize. *Annual Review of Genetics* 5:447–478.
1983 The Origin of Maize as Shown by Key Morphological Traits of Its Ancestor, Teosinte. *Maydica* 28:121–138.
- Goloubinoff, Pierre, Svante Pääbo, and Alan Wilson
1993 Evolution of Maize Inferred from Sequence Diversity of an *Adh2* Gene Segment from Archaeological Specimens. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* 90:1997–2001.
- Hilton, Holly, and Brandon Gaut
1998 Speciation and Domestication in Maize and Its Wild Relatives: Evidence from the *Globulin-1* Gene. *Genetics* 150:863–872.
- Iltis, Hugh
1971 The Maize Mystique—A Reappraisal of the Origin of Corn. *Contributions of the University of Wisconsin Herbarium* 5:1–4.
1983 From Teosinte to Maize: The Catastrophic Sexual Transmutation. *Science* 222:886–894.
- Kato, Takeo Angel
1976 Cytological Studies of Maize. *Massachusetts Agricultural Experiment Station Research Bulletin* No. 635.
- Kellogg, Elizabeth, and James Birchler
1993 Linking Phylogeny and Genetics: *Zea mays* as a Tool for Phylogenetic Studies. *Systematic Biology* 42:415–439.
- Langham, Donald
1940 The Inheritance of Intergeneric Differences in *Zea-Euchlaena* Hybrids. *Genetics* 25:88–107.
- Larson, Steven, and John Doebley
1994 Restriction Site Variation in the Chloroplast Genome and Nuclear Ribosomal DNA of *Tripsacum* (Poaceae): Phylogeny and Rates of Sequence Evolution. *Systematic Botany* 19:21–24.
- MacNeish, Richard, and Mary Eubanks
2000 Comparative Analysis of the Rio Balsas and Tehuacan Models for the Origin of Maize. *Latin American Antiquity* 11:3–20.
- Mangelsdorf, Paul
1974 *Corn: Its Origin, Evolution and Improvement*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Mangelsdorf, Paul, and Richard Reeves
1939 The Origin of Indian Corn and Its Relatives. *Texas Agricultural Experimental Station Bulletin* No. 574.
- McClintock, Barbara, Takeo Angel Kato, and Almiro Blumenstein
1981 *Chromosome Constitution of Races of Maize. Its Significance in the Interpretation of Relationships between Races and Varieties in the Americas*. Colegio de Postgraduados, Chapingo, Mexico.
- Miranda Colín, Salvador
1966 Discusion Sobre el Origen y la Evolucion del Maíz, *Memorias del Segundo Congreso Nacional de Fitogenetica Sociedad Mexicana de Fitogenetica*, pp. 233–251. Monterrey, Mexico.
- Purugganan, Michael, and Susan Wessler
1994 Molecular Evolution of *Magellan*, a Maize Ty3/gypsy-like Retrotransposon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* 91:11674–11678.
- Randolph, Lawrence
1975 Contributions of Wild Relatives of Maize to the Evolutionary History of Domesticated Maize: A Synthesis of Divergent Hypotheses I. *Economic botany* 30:321–345.
- Raz, Regina, Pere Puigdomenech, and Jose Martinez-Izquierdo
1991 A New Family of Repetitive Nucleotide Sequences Is Restricted to the Genus *Zea*. *Gene* 105:151–158.
- Szabo, Veronique, and Benjamin Burr
1996 Simple Inheritance of Key Traits Distinguishing Maize and Teosinte. *Molecular and General Genetics* 252:33–41.
- Talbert, Luther, John Doebley, Steven Larson, and Vicki Chandler
1990a *Tripsacum andersonii* Is a Natural Hybrid Involving *Zea* and *Tripsacum*: Molecular Evidence. *American Journal of Botany* 77:722–726.
- Talbert, Luther, Steven Larson, and John Doebley
1990b The Ancestry of *Tripsacum andersonii*. In *The Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Chromosome Engineering in Plants*, edited by G. Kimber, pp. 100–103. University of Missouri Press, Columbia.
- Talbert, Luther, and Susan Moylan
1991 Isolation of Repetitive DNA Sequences Specific to the Genus *Tripsacum*. *Maize Genetics Cooperation Newsletter* 65:35–36.
- Walbot, Virginia
1983 Morphological and Genomic Variation in Plants: *Zea mays* and Its Relatives. In *Development and Evolution*, edited by B. C. Goodwin, N. Holder and C. C. Wylie, pp. 257–277. University Press, Cambridge.
- White, Shawn, and John Doebley
1999 The Molecular Evolution of *Terminal Ear1*, a Regulatory Gene in the Genus *Zea*. *Genetics* 153:1455–1462.
- Wilkes, H. Garrison
1967 *Teosinte: The Closest Relative of Maize*. Bussey Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.